arXiv:1605.05660v1 [hep-ph] 18 May 2016

IPPP/16/44
NuPhys2015-Weiland
May 19, 2016

Lepton Flavour Violating Higgs Decays in the (SUSY) Inverse Seesaw

E. Arganda[†], M.J. Herrero[‡], X. Marcano[‡], C. Weiland[§,1],

[†]*IFLP, CONICET - Dpto. de Física, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, C.C. 67, 1900 La Plata, Argentina*
[‡]*Departamento de Física Teórica and Instituto de Física Teórica, IFT-UAM/CSIC, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain*
[§]*Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Department of Physics, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom*

The observation of charged lepton flavour violation would be a smoking gun for new physics and could help in pinpointing the mechanism at the origin of neutrino masses and mixing. We present here our recent studies of lepton flavour violating Higgs decays in the inverse seesaw and its supersymmetric embedding, two examples of low-scale seesaw mechanisms. We predict branching ratios as large as 10^{-5} for the decays $h \to \tau\mu$ and $h \to \tau e$ in the inverse seesaw, which can be probed in future colliders. Supersymmetric contributions can enhance the branching ratio of $h \to \tau\mu$ up to 1%, making it large enough to explain the small excess observed by ATLAS and CMS.

PRESENTED AT

NuPhys2015, Prospects in Neutrino Physics
Barbican Centre, London, UK, December 16–18, 2015

[1]Speaker

1 Introduction

The observation of neutrino oscillations proves that neutrinos are massive particles that mix and calls for an extension of the Standard Model. Many neutrino mass generating mechanisms have been proposed and the discovery of a Higgs boson in 2012 [1, 2] with a mass of $m_h = 125.09 \pm 0.21(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.11(\text{syst.})\,\text{GeV}$ [3] opens new avenues to probe these models. Since neutrino oscillations violate lepton flavour conservation, particularly well-motivated observables are charged lepton flavour violating (cLFV) processes. Both CMS and ATLAS collaborations have searched for cLFV Higgs decays [4–6] and both have observed excesses in the $h \to \tau\mu$ channel, which translates into $\text{BR} = 0.84^{+0.39}_{-0.37}\%$ for CMS [6] and $\text{BR} = 0.53 \pm 0.51\%$ for ATLAS [5]. These results and future improvements in sensitivity call for a study of their impact on neutrino mass models. We focus here on two low-scale seesaw mechanisms, the inverse seesaw and its supersymmetric (SUSY) realization.

2 The (SUSY) inverse seesaw

One of the simplest and most appealing extensions of the Standard Model (SM) that generates neutrino masses and mixing is the inverse seesaw (ISS) [7–9] where the SM is extended by adding three pairs of fermionic singlets with opposite lepton number, denoted here by ν_{Ri} and X_j, with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. The following neutrino Yukawa interactions and mass terms are thus added to the SM Lagrangian [10]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ISS}} = -Y_\nu^{ij}\overline{L_i}\widetilde{H}\nu_{Rj} - M_R^{ij}\overline{\nu_{Ri}^C}X_j - \frac{1}{2}\mu_X^{ij}\overline{X_i^C}X_j + h.c.\,, \tag{1}$$

with L the SM lepton doublet, H the SM Higgs doublet, $\widetilde{H} = \imath\sigma_2 H^*$, Y_ν the 3×3 neutrino Yukawa coupling matrix, M_R is a lepton number conserving 3×3 mass matrix, and μ_X a Majorana 3×3 symmetric mass matrix. Since the latter controls the size of the lepton number violation, its smallness is natural [11]. After electroweak symmetry breaking, the light neutrino mass matrix is given by [12]

$$M_{\text{light}} \simeq m_D M_R^{T\,-1}\mu_X M_R^{-1} m_D^T\,, \tag{2}$$

where $m_D = Y_\nu\langle H\rangle$, while heavy neutrinos form pseudo-Dirac pairs whose mass is approximately given by the eigenvalues of M_R with the splitting within a pair controlled by μ_X. Since the light neutrino masses are suppressed by μ_X, the ISS can naturally accommodate light neutrinos at the eV scale with $Y_\nu \sim \text{O}(1)$ and a seesaw scale around the electroweak scale.

The SUSY ISS is the simplest supersymmetric embedding of the ISS. It is defined by the superpotential:

$$W = W_{\text{MSSM}} + \varepsilon_{ab}\widehat{N}Y_\nu\widehat{H}_2^b\widehat{L}^a + \widehat{N}M_R\widehat{X} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{X}\mu_X\widehat{X}\,, \tag{3}$$

with $\varepsilon_{12} = 1$, $\widehat{H}_1$ and $\widehat{H}_2$ the down-type and up-type Higgs bosons. The reader can find the corresponding soft SUSY breaking Lagrangian in our main article [13]. Importantly, all soft SUSY breaking masses are taken to be flavour diagonal, making sure that the only source of cLFV is the neutrino Yukawa coupling Y_ν.



Figure 1: Left panel: Contour lines for BR($h \to \mu\overline{\tau}$) in the (M_{R_3}, μ_X) plane using the modified Casas-Ibarra parametrization. The pink area is excluded by BR($\mu \to e\gamma$) and the blue area is exclude by the non-perturbative Y_ν. Right panel: BR($h \to \mu\overline{\tau}$) as a function of M_R using the μ_X-parametrization with $f = \sqrt{6\pi}$. Dotted lines indicate excluded input values leading to BR($\tau \to \mu\gamma$) above the present experimental bound.

3 cLFV Higgs decays in the inverse seesaw

In this work, we have considered the full set of one-loop diagrams contributing to the cLFV Higgs decay rates in the ISS. All diagrams, the details of the calculation as well as the details of the constraints implementation can be found in [10]. In particular, we make use of two parametrizations in order to reproduce light neutrino masses and mixing in agreement with oscillation data [14], a Casas-Ibarra [15] parametrization for Y_ν, modified for the ISS, and a new parametrization for μ_X:

$$\mu_X = M_R^T \; m_D^{-1} \; U^*_{\rm PMNS} m_\nu U^\dagger_{\rm PMNS} \; m_D^{T\,-1} M_R \,, \tag{4}$$

where $U_{\rm PMNS}$ is the 3×3 Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata matrix for neutrino mixing.

The left panel of fig. 1 shows BR($h \to \mu\overline{\tau}$) as a function of the two most relevant parameters in the case of hierarchical heavy neutrino. Since we use a modified Casas-Ibarra parametrization here, Y_ν grows with M_R, which leads to larger BR($h \to \mu\overline{\tau}$) at a larger M_{R_3}. In contrast, Y_ν decreases when μ_X increases, meaning that BR($h \to \mu\overline{\tau}$) grows when μ_X decreases. Circles and lines correspond to two regions with different dependences on the seesaw parameters, which is specific to cLFV Higgs decays. Radiative cLFV decays only exhibit lines for example. We can clearly see that, in this case, $\text{BR}^{\max}(h \to \mu\overline{\tau}) \sim 10^{-9}$ due to the stringent experimental upper limit on BR($\mu \to e\gamma$) [16].

The right panel of fig. 1 displays BR($h \to \mu\overline{\tau}$) as a function of the seesaw scale in the μ_X-parametrization with degenerate heavy neutrinos. We are now free to choose as input



Figure 2: BR($h \to \tau\overline{\mu}$) in the SUSY-ISS using the μ_X-parametrization, $m_A = 800$ GeV and $M_0 = 1$ TeV. Left panel: BR($h \to \tau\overline{\mu}$) as a function of M_R with $\tan\beta = 10$. Right panel: Dependence of BR($h \to \tau\overline{\mu}$) on A_ν with $\tan\beta = 5$. Crosses (triangles) represent points in the SUSY-ISS parameter space excluded (allowed) by the $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ upper limit [17].

Yukawa couplings with $(Y_\nu Y_\nu^\dagger)_{12} = 0$, which suppresses BR($\mu \to e\,\gamma$). Three examples are

$$Y^{(1)}_{\tau\mu} = f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0.9 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \; Y^{(2)}_{\tau\mu} = f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} , \; Y^{(3)}_{\tau\mu} = f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where the constraint $\frac{|Y_\nu^{ij}|^2}{4\pi} \leq 1.5$ translates into $f \leq \sqrt{6\pi}$. The main constraint in this case is BR($\tau \to \mu\gamma$) [17], which decreases faster than BR($h \to \mu\overline{\tau}$). As a consequence, we find that $\mathrm{BR}^{\max}(h \to \mu\overline{\tau}) \sim 10^{-5}$, a result that remains valid with hierarchical heavy neutrinos. Similarly, we found $\mathrm{BR}^{\max}(h \to e\,\overline{\tau}) \sim 10^{-5}$. Details of the analysis, including the dependence on other parameters, can be found in [10]. Branching ratios of this size, while they cannot explain the small LHC excess, can be probed at future colliders.

4 cLFV Higgs decays in the SUSY inverse seesaw

For this study, we have calculated the full one-loop SUSY contributions in the mass basis to the SM-like Higgs boson, denoted by h and taken to be the lightest CP-even Higgs boson. The contributing diagrams as well as the complete analytical expressions can be found in [13]. We have taken into account various experimental constraints like low energy neutrino data and cLFV radiative decays, choosing as examples two benchmark points with a Higgs boson mass within 1σ of the central value of the latest CMS and ATLAS combination and with supersymmetric spectra allowed by ATLAS and CMS searches.

We present in fig. 2 the predictions of BR($h \to \tau\overline{\mu}$) as a function of the seesaw scale and the sneutrino trilinear coupling for the three neutrino Yukawa textures presented in the previous section 3, suppressing cLFV in the $\mu - e$ sector. The most stringent constraint is thus the related cLFV radiative decay $\tau \to \mu\gamma$. On the left panel, two different behaviours

can be observed. At low M_R, the dominant contribution comes from sneutrino-chargino loops while slepton-neutralino loops dominate at large M_R. This comes from the roughly linear right-handed sneutrino mass dependence on M_R, leading to their decoupling at large M_R. On the right panel, we can see that large values of A_ν increases both cLFV radiative and Higgs decays when the sneutrino-chargino contributions dominate. However, the exact value of A_ν where they reach their minimum can be different, leading to an enhanced $\mathrm{BR}(h \to \tau\overline{\mu})$ with $\mathrm{BR}(\tau \to \mu\gamma)$ in agreement with experimental limits. This leads to $\mathrm{BR}^{\mathrm{max}}(h \to \tau\overline{\mu}) \sim 1\%$, a value large enough to explain the CMS and ATLAS excesses. A more detailed discussion of the dependence on relevant parameters can be found in [13].

5 Conclusion

Following the discovery of a Higgs boson at the LHC, searches for cLFV Higgs decays offer a new way to probe the mechanism at the origin of neutrino masses and mixing. We have presented here the results of two studies of cLFV Higgs decays in the inverse seesaw and its supersymmetric realization, showing first that they are complementary to cLFV radiative decays because of their different dependence on the seesaw parameters. In the non-supersymmetric inverse seesaw, $\mathrm{BR}(h \to \mu\overline{\tau})$ and $\mathrm{BR}(h \to e\overline{\tau})$ as large as 10^{-5} can be expected, which can be probed by future colliders. Supersymmetric contributions to $h \to \tau\mu$ can lead to branching ratios up to 1%, which could explain the CMS and ATLAS excesses. If the seesaw scale is low enough to generate these large branching ratios, the scenarios considered here could lead to a substantial production of heavy neutrinos at the LHC, leading to specific final states like $\mu\tau jj$ with $M_{jj} = M_W$ [18].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

C. W. received financial support as an International Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC Grant NuMass agreement n. [617143] during different stages of this work. E. A. acknowledges the support of ANPCyT, Argentina. X. M. is supported through the FPU grant AP-2012-6708. Some of the work presented here was partially supported by the European Union Grant No. FP7 ITN INVISIBLES (Marie Curie Actions, Grant No. PITN- GA-2011- 289442), by the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skodowska-Curie grant agreements No 690575 and No 674896, by the CICYT through Grant No. FPA2012-31880, by the Spanish Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Programme CPAN (Grant No. CSD2007-00042), and by the Spanish MINECO's "Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa" Programme under Grant No. SEV-2012-0249.

References

[1] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 1 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020 [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].

[2] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 30 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021 [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].

[3] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS and CMS Collaborations], Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** (2015) 191803 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.191803 [arXiv:1503.07589 [hep-ex]].

[4] CMS Collaboration [CMS Collaboration], CMS-PAS-HIG-14-040.

[5] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], arXiv:1604.07730 [hep-ex].

[6] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **749** (2015) 337 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.07.053 [arXiv:1502.07400 [hep-ex]].

[7] R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 561. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.561

[8] R. N. Mohapatra and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **34** (1986) 1642. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.34.1642

[9] J. Bernabeu, A. Santamaria, J. Vidal, A. Mendez and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B **187** (1987) 303. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)91100-2

[10] E. Arganda, M. J. Herrero, X. Marcano and C. Weiland, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) no.1, 015001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.015001 [arXiv:1405.4300 [hep-ph]].

[11] G. 't Hooft, NATO Sci. Ser. B **59** (1980) 135.

[12] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B **216** (1989) 360. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(89)91131-3

[13] E. Arganda, M. J. Herrero, X. Marcano and C. Weiland, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.5, 055010 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.055010 [arXiv:1508.04623 [hep-ph]].

[14] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1212** (2012) 123 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2012)123 [arXiv:1209.3023 [hep-ph]].

[15] J. A. Casas and A. Ibarra, Nucl. Phys. B **618** (2001) 171 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00475-8 [hep-ph/0103065].

[16] J. Adam *et al.* [MEG Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 201801 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.201801 [arXiv:1303.0754 [hep-ex]].

[17] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **104** (2010) 021802 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.021802 [arXiv:0908.2381 [hep-ex]].

[18] E. Arganda, M. J. Herrero, X. Marcano and C. Weiland, Phys. Lett. B **752** (2016) 46 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.11.013 [arXiv:1508.05074 [hep-ph]].